

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/814,481	03/31/2004	Yasushi Karasawa	9319K-117DVA	7780
	27572	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
	HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			CULBERT, ROBERTS P	
	BLOOMFIEI	LD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1763	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(' '				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,481	KARASAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roberts Culbert	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 July 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 15,18 and 21 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,18 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiroment					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
, , ,	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	\					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/814,481

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/06 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 3,354,022 to Dettre et al. in view of U.S. Patent 3,765,969 to Kragness et al.

Regarding Claim 21, Dettre et al. teach a method for manufacturing a water-repellant structural member comprising forming irregularities on an external surface of the member (Fig. 3-6), the irregularities having protrusion portions and recessed portions, and controlling the forming step so that said protrusions have a substantially uniform height with an evenness of height which is .5 times a width of one of the group selected from the protrusion portions and recess portions (Col. 3, Line 74 – Col. 4, Line 1), and said recesses have an upper opening width in a range of "250 μ m or below" (Col. 4, Lines 51-57) so that any droplet minimally falls in any of said recess portions and each droplet comes into contact with an air layer in each of said recess portions.

Dettre et al. do not expressly teach said recesses have an upper opening width in a range of 1-10 μ m or a top surface width of the protrusions is in the range 1 to 10 μ m. However, Dettre et al. teach a recess width less than 250 μ m as well as comprising 60% depressions ("air content of at least 60%" Col. 3, Line 65 - Col. 4, Line 39), thus, a range for a top surface width of the projections would also correspond to a range less than 250 μ m. Dettre et al. discloses a range encompassing the somewhat narrower

Application/Control Number: 10/814,481 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

claimed range, which is sufficient to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness. The Specification of the instant application (pages 10 and 20) does not teach that the recited range is critical to the invention but teaches similarly that recesses suitable for forming water repellant surfaces are more broadly 0.2-200 μ m or 0.2-500 μ m (Specification pages 10 and 20)

Regarding Claims 15, 18 and 21, Dettre teaches that various methods may be used to form the projections and depressions on the surface such as chemically or mechanically modifying any metal or non-metal to give the desired surface pattern. (C8, L40-45) However, Dettre does not explicitly teach a photolithography process and an anisotropic wet etching process.

Anisotropic wet etching is a well-known chemical modification technique for forming patterned depressions in a material surface. For example, Kragness et al. teach that single crystal materials such as silicon may be chemically modified or etched anisotropically to produce an ordered array of projections and depressions. (Figures 6-10)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use anisotropic chemical etching as shown by Kragness et al. in order to provide suitable chemical modification techniques for the formation of a water repellant surface.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

١

Application/Control Number: 10/814,481

Application/Control Number: 10/014,40

Art Unit: 1763

1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally

Page 4

be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

R. Culbert

Examiner

Art Unit 1763

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763

ţ